

§51

## Homology with Arbitrary Coefficients

Let  $C = \{C_p, \partial\}$  be a chain complex. Let  $G$  be an abelian group. We define a new chain complex by

$$C \otimes G = \{C_p \otimes G, \partial \otimes \text{id}\}.$$

Since  $\partial \otimes \text{id} \circ \partial \otimes \text{id} = \partial \circ \partial \otimes \text{id} = 0 \otimes \text{id} = 0$ ,  $C \otimes G$  is indeed a chain complex. Its  $p^{\text{th}}$  homology group is denoted

$$H_p(C; G)$$

and is called the  $p^{\text{th}}$  homology group of  $C$  with coefficients in  $G$ . (We will see the connection with the definition in §10 later.) Notice that  $H_p(C; \mathbb{Z}) = H_p(C)$ .

Reduced homology groups with coeff's in  $G$  are also defined. See textbook. we again have  $H_0(C; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \tilde{H}_0(C; G) \oplus G$  and  $H_p(C; G) = \tilde{H}_p(C; G)$ ,  $p \neq 0$ .

Now we look at chain maps and just list some facts.

Let  $\phi: C \rightarrow D$  be a chain map.

Then  $\phi \otimes \text{id}: C \otimes G \rightarrow D \otimes G$  is also a chain map.

The induced map on homology is denoted  $\phi_*$  instead of  $(\phi \otimes \text{id})_*$ .

If  $\phi, \psi: C \rightarrow D$  are chain maps and  $D$  is a chain homotopy between them, then  $D \otimes \text{id}$  is a chain homotopy between  $\phi \otimes \text{id}$  and  $\psi \otimes \text{id}$ . Thus if  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are chain homotopic, then  $\phi_*$  and  $\psi_*$  are equal for all choices of coeffs.

Let  $0 \rightarrow C \rightarrow D \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0$  be a short exact seq of chain complexes that splits in each dim.

Then

$$0 \rightarrow C_p \otimes G \rightarrow D_p \otimes G \rightarrow E_p \otimes G \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. (Thm 50.4)

We can apply the zig-zag lemma to get

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_p(C; G) \rightarrow H_p(D; G) \rightarrow H_p(E; G) \xrightarrow{2*} H_{p+1}(C; G) \rightarrow \cdots$$

exact.

Now we take a quick look at the three homology theories we have: simplicial, singular, and cellular (cw).

Simplicial. Let  $(K, K_0)$  be a simplicial pair. We have the chain complex  $C(K, K_0)$  and we define

$$H_p(K, K_0; G) = H_p(C(K, K_0); G)$$

and similarly for reduced homology.

Since  $C_p(K, K_0)$  is free abelian,  $C_p(K, K_0) \otimes G$  is a direct sum of copies of  $G$  one for each  $p$ -simplex of  $K$  not in  $K_0$ . Orient the  $p$ -simplices and

$C_p(K, K_0) \otimes G$  is generated by  $\sigma_i \otimes g_i$ , in fact every member of  $C_p(K, K_0) \otimes G$  has a unique expression

$$\sum_{\text{finite}} \sigma_i \otimes g_i$$

The defn in §10 has  $C_p^G(K, K_0; G)$  ~~generately~~ represented by  $\sum g_i \sigma_i$ . The boundary maps do the same things:

$$\partial(\sum \sigma_i \otimes g_i) = \sum \partial \sigma_i \otimes g_i$$

$$\partial(\sum g_i \sigma_i) = \sum g_i \partial \sigma_i$$

So, the two definitions of ~~the~~ simplicial homology group over  $G$  are isomorphic.

One can verify that  $H_*(k, k; G)$  satisfies all the properties of a homology theory (the axioms).

Singular Very similar but the excision axiom is harder to check. See textbook.

Cellular Ditto.